CLCS Review Item Disposition

1. Initiator	LAST NAME FIRST		2 Type of	2. Type of Review 3. RID Number			
Name	Feodoroff, Barry			General Document	Paviou	00200-110	
	<u></u>			General Document	00200-110		
Organization	LMSMSS		PDR, CDR, ABR , PPR (circle)				
Phone	861-2233			Other			
Fax			Ш	<u> </u>			
1 1111							
5a. Doc. Number	0.417.002.00	6. Doc. Name System	. T1	Consideration (CLC)			
	84K00200	System	1 Level	Specification (SLS)			
5a. Doc. Revision	Pre-Release 1						
(N A DYD III							
6. Name of RID To	eam SLS R	ID Review Team					
7. Problem							
display view for system v	2.2.4.3 System Viewers: ver application software), viewers. ing system viewer require	the subparagraph beg	in to lis	the some, but not all	l, the detail sof	ftware requirements	
developed),	e subparagraphs do not coreference a System View a section in the SLS that of	vers CSCI, and move	the subp	aragraphs to the CSC	CI.	yet to be	
	nmendation not implemented						
1) There wi	ill be less need to update to	he SLS to reflect char	nges as t	he system messages	software is dev	veloped.	
2) Acknowl	ledge the CLCS proposed	software architecture).				
10 Toom P	andation		11 A		tiator - Signature	Submission Date	
10. Team Recomm				ion Required			
☐ Acce	epted			☐ Update Documen	ıt		
🛚 🗓 Re	eiected			☐ Study			
☐ Stud	v			Other (specify)			
	•			_ curer (speen)/			
	ndrawn						
□ Defe	erred to CLCS CCB Scree	ning Panel					
Com	ments			Comments			
See Attache	d.						
RID Team Manage	r - Signature						
12. Final RID Clo	ocure Action		12 43	itional Comments/Notes			
	D to be incorporated in ne	ext revision	13. Ad	litional Comments/Notes			
	•						
	D to be incorporated in of	ner (specity)					
1							

Response Attachment 200-110

- 1) The RID Management Team (RMT) acknowledges the fact that the top level requirements for System Viewers are not developed at this time. However, Appendix C provides a list of CCMS Command Processor applications (essentially the System Viewers of the era), and the requirement to port, or provide equivalent function in CLCS. It is the position of the RMT that the addition of the requirements to the SLS doesn't add sufficient value to warrant expending the labor and time that inclusion of such requirements would entail.
- 2) RID 109 written by the same author requested that a section of CLCS Architecture Requirements be developed and placed into the SLS. That RID was rejected. Refer to the attachment for RID 109 for the rationale for rejection.